

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,026	10/613,026 07/07/2003		Kyoung-Geun Lee	Q75617	6516
23373	7590	04/28/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	•		NATNAEL, PAULOS M		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ron, do	20037		2622	
				DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/613,026	LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Paulos M. Natnael	2622
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAPAISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	eptember 2004.	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-8 and 10-14</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	<i>w</i> >		
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notio 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (FTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-2 and 9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,853,157. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because allowing the invention defined by claims 1-2 and 9 of the instant application would result in an unwarranted timewise extension of the monopoly defined by the invention of claims 1-2 and 7 of Patent No. 6,853,157.

Considering claim 1, the claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/613,026

Art Unit: 2622

a) a correction value generation unit for calculating a convergence correction value to correct misconvergence occurring when a video signal is scanned on a display device, is met by the limitation "a compensation value generator for calculating a convergence compensation value for compensating a convergence distortion which occurs while an image signal is emitted onto a display apparatus, the compensation value generator outputting the convergence compensation value after compensating for a phase and a gain of the convergence yoke," claim 1, of Patent No. 6,853,157.

Page 3

- b) an amplification unit for performing a D-class amplification with respect to the convergence correction value, is met by the limitation "an amplifier of a D-class for amplifying the convergence compensation value", of claim 1, of Patent No. 6,853,157.
- c) a convergence yoke mounted in the display device to control a path of an electron beam corresponding to the video signal based on the convergence correction value amplified in the amplification unit, is met by the limitation "a convergence yoke for controlling a path of electron beams corresponding to the image signal, based on the convergence compensation value as amplified at the amplifier", claim 1 of Patent No. 6,853,157.
- d) and a feedback sensing unit for differentially amplifying a voltage value for electric current passing through the convergence yoke, and feeding back the differentially

Application/Control Number: 10/613,026 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

amplified voltage value to the correction value generation unit, is met by the limitation " and a feedback sensor provided between the convergence yoke and the compensation value generator, for reducing a noise outputted from the convergence yoke through a differential amplification" of claim 1, Patent No. 6,853,157.

Considering claim 2, the claimed

a) a convergence module for outputting a pre-set misconvergence value, is met by the limitation "a convergence module for synchronization to a horizontal synchronization signal and a vertical

predetermined convergence distortion value;

b) a triangular waveform generation unit for generating a triangular...is met by the limitation "a triangular wave generator for generating a triangular wave" of claim 2,

synchronization signal applied to the display apparatus and outputting a

c) a combining unit for combining the misconvergence value and an output voltage of the feedback sensing unit, is met by the limitation "a combiner for combining the convergence distortion value and an output from the feedback sensor, of claim 2, d) a comparison unit for comparing potential levels of voltages outputted from the combining unit and the triangular waveform generation unit, is met by the limitation "a comparator for comparing the convergence distortion value outputted from the combiner with a phase level of the triangular wave" of claim 2.

Art Unit: 2622

e) and a pulse generation unit for generating a pulse width-modulated signal based on a comparison result of the comparison unit is met by the limitation, "and a pulse generator for generating the convergence compensation value in a form of a pulse width modulating signal based on the comparison result from the comparator", of claim 2.

As to the claimed phrase, "based on a pre-set frequency" in b), although the patent does not claim generation of the waveform is based on a preset frequency, the specification describes the it would have been obvious to those with ordinary skill in the art to readily realize the waveform generation would be based on a selected, desired frequency and would have been obvious to implement the invention accordingly.

Considering claim 9, the claimed

- a) calculating a convergence correction value of a pulse to correct a misconvergence value of a video signal outputted from a display device, is met by "calculating a convergence compensation value for compensating a convergence distortion which occurs while an image signal is emitted onto a display apparatus in consideration of a phase and a gain of the convergence yoke" claim 7, of patent 6,853,157.
- b) performing D-class amplification with respect to the convergence correction value to amplify voltages and currents, is met by the limitation " D-class amplifying in response to the convergence compensation value", of claim 7, patent 6,853,157.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2622

c) controlling a path of an electron beam corresponding to the video signal by a magnetic field formed according to a current based on the D-class-amplified convergence correction value, is met by the limitation "and controlling a path of electron beams corresponding to the image signal by the magnetic field as formed", of claim 7, patent 6,853,157.

d) and calculating a voltage value for a noise-removed current, and feeding back to the step for calculating the convergence correction value, is met by the limitation "sensing an output of the convergence yoke and removing a noise from the convergence yoke output through a differential amplification", of claim 7, patent 6,853,157.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) as illustrated on Prior art Figure 4.

Considering claim 9, the Admitted prior Art ("APA") discloses a correction value generator 33 that calculates a convergence correction value to correct convergence of a video signal based on a convergence distortion value, a D-Class amplifier 34 that amplifies the data output by the convergence value generator, a convergence yoke 41.

Art Unit: 2622

a feedback line, and a resistor 36 for converting current flowing through the convergence unit into a voltage value and feeding it back into the correction value generator 33, as describe in applicant's specification on pages 4 and 5. Thus, the APA teaches all claimed subject matter as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-8, 10-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paulos M. Natnael whose telephone number is (571) 272-7354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm M,W, F (7am-3:30pm T,Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paulos M. Natnael Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622

PMN April 26, 2006